arXiv:1403.1009v2 [math.CA] 13 Aug 2015

Invariants for systems of two linear hyperbolic-type equations by complex methods

A. Aslam[a], **M. Safdar**[b] **and F. M. Mahomed**[c]

[a]School of Natural Sciences
National University of Sciences and Technology
Campus H-12, 44000, Islamabad, Pakistan

[b]School of Mechanical and Manufacturing Engineering
National University of Sciences and Technology
Campus H-12, 44000, Islamabad, Pakistan

[c]Differential Equations, Continuum Mechanics and Applications
School of Computational and Applied Mathematics
University of the Witwatersrand, Wits 2050, South Africa

adnangrewal@yahoo.com, safdar.camp@gmail.com, Fazal.Mahomed@wits.ac.za

Abstract. Invariants of general linear system of two hyperbolic partial differential equations (PDEs) are derived under transformations of the dependent and independent variables by real infinitesimal method earlier. Here a subclass of the general system of linear hyperbolic PDEs is investigated for the associated invariants, by complex as well as real methods. The complex procedure relies on the correspondence of systems of PDEs with the base complex equation. Complex invariants of the base complex PDEs are shown to reveal invariants of the corresponding systems. A comparison of all the invariant quantities obtained by complex and real methods for this class, is presented which shows that the complex procedure provides a few invariants different from those extracted by real symmetry analysis.

1. Introduction

Invariants of a system of two linear hyperbolic equations

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tx} + a_1(t,x)u_t + a_2(t,x)v_t + b_1(t,x)u_x + b_2(t,x)v_x + c_1(t,x)u + c_2(t,x)v = 0, \\ v_{tx} + a_3(t,x)u_t + a_4(t,x)v_t + b_3(t,x)u_x + b_4(t,x)v_x + c_3(t,x)u + c_4(t,x)v = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where t and x in the subscripts denote partial derivatives with respect to these independent variables, under an invertible change of the dependent variables have been derived in [1]

by real infinitesimal method. Moreover, joint invariants were also worked out there, under transformations of both the dependent and independent variables. The most general group of equivalence transformations [2, 3], i.e. invertible change of the dependent and independent variables that maps a system of hyperbolic equations to itself with, in general different coefficients, is obtained first and then employed to find associated invariants [1]. Complex symmetry analysis (CSA) is invoked to investigate the semi-invariants associated with a subclass of the system of hyperbolic equations (1) under a change of only the dependent variables [4]. This subclass of systems is represented by the following two hyperbolic-type equations

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tx} + \alpha_1(t,x)u_t - \alpha_2(t,x)v_t + \beta_1(t,x)u_x - \beta_2(t,x)v_x + \gamma_1(t,x)u - \gamma_2(t,x)v = 0, \\ v_{tx} + \alpha_2(t,x)u_t + \alpha_1(t,x)v_t + \beta_2(t,x)u_x + \beta_1(t,x)v_x + \gamma_2(t,x)u + \gamma_1(t,x)v = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

This system of hyperbolic-type equations corresponds to a scalar complex hyperbolic equation

$$w_{tx} + \alpha(t,x)w_t + \beta(t,x)w_x + \gamma(t,x)w = 0, \tag{3}$$

if $\alpha_1 + i\alpha_2 = \alpha$, $\beta_1 + i\beta_2 = \beta$, $\gamma_1 + i\gamma_2 = \gamma$ and $u_1 + iv_2 = w$ [4]. The set of equivalence transformations used to obtain the semi-invariants of system (2) had a specific Cauchy-Riemann (CR) structure. Therefore the deduced invariants also satisfy the CR-equations. These quantities were also found to correspond to their complex analogues given in [5, 6], associated with the base complex equation by means of a change of the complex dependent variable. All the invariants of the scalar linear hyperbolic equation are presented in [7, 8].

Semi-invariants of the linear parabolic equation via a transformation of only the dependent variable have been derived [5]. Semi-invariants by a transformation of the independent variables are given in [9, 10] and joint invariants are found as well [9, 10, 11]. Laplace-type invariants of the linear parabolic equation have been extended to Ibragimov-type semi-invariants for a system of two parabolic-type PDEs with CSA [12]. Complex procedure imposes the CR-structure on the invariants derived when applied on systems obtained by complex PDEs. Though complex and real symmetry analysis have been employed to find the semi-invariants of systems of parabolic and hyperbolic-type PDEs, these results have not been compared earlier in order to bring out the significance of CSA. By comparing the invariants associated with the system of two hyperbolic-type PDEs with those deduced by adopting real procedure, it is shown that CSA goes beyond the real procedure and yields a few new invariant quantities different from those obtained by real symmetry method developed for systems.

The plan of the paper is as follows. The second section is on preliminaries where infinitesimal method is demonstrated. The subsequent section contains derivation of the invariants of a system of hyperbolic-type equations by real symmetry analysis. The fourth section is on

obtaining invariants for the same system by the complex procedure and the comparison of these with the invariants obtained in the third section. Concluding remarks are presented in the last section.

2. Preliminaries

Semi-invariants of the linear hyperbolic equation

$$w_{z_1z_2} + \alpha(z_1, z_2)w_{z_1} + \beta(z_1, z_2)w_{z_2} + \gamma(z_1, z_2)w = 0, \tag{4}$$

under a transformation of (only) the dependent variables

$$w(z_1, z_2) = \sigma(z_1, z_2)u(z_1, z_2), \tag{5}$$

are derived in [5, 6]. The transformations (5) correspond to the following infinitesimal change of the dependent variables

$$w = u + \epsilon\eta(z_1, z_2)u, \tag{6}$$

which leads to a generator of the form

$$\mathbf{Z} = \eta_{z_2}\partial_\alpha + \eta_{z_1}\partial_\beta + (\eta_{z_1z_2} + \alpha\eta_{z_1} + \beta\eta_{z_2})\partial_\gamma, \tag{7}$$

where η_{z_1}, η_{z_2} denotes partial derivatives of η, i.e. $\frac{\partial\eta}{\partial z_1}$, $\frac{\partial\eta}{\partial z_2}$ and $\partial_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha}$, that is readable from the transformed hyperbolic equation after implementing the infinitesimal change of the dependent variable (6) on equation (4). The following first order semi-invariants (called Laplace invariants) were reported in [5]

$$h = \alpha_{z_1} + \alpha\beta - \gamma, \quad k = \beta_{z_2} + \alpha\beta - \gamma, \tag{8}$$

by applying the infinitesimal method. The first extension of (7) acts on $J(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \alpha_\rho, \beta_\rho, \gamma_\rho)$, where $\rho = z_1, z_2$, to reveal invariants (8). Similarly, a change of the independent variables

$$z_1 = \phi(t), \quad z_2 = \psi(x), \tag{9}$$

which can be written in the infinitesimal form as

$$z_1 = t + \epsilon\xi_1(t), \quad z_2 = x + \epsilon\xi_2(x), \tag{10}$$

maps the linear hyperbolic equation (4) to an equation of the same family, i.e. transformations (9) preserve the form, linearity and homogeneity of the hyperbolic PDE (4). This change of (only) the independent variables leads to a generator

$$\mathbf{Z} = \xi_1\partial_t + \xi_2\partial_x - \alpha\xi_{2,x}\partial_\alpha - \beta\xi_{1,t}\partial_\beta - \gamma(\xi_{1,t} + \xi_{2,x})\partial_\gamma, \tag{11}$$

i.e. the operator obtained after transforming the linear hyperbolic equation according to (10) and reading it from the transformed new coefficients. Applying this generator on $J(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ yields a zeroth order semi-invariant

$$I_1 = \frac{\gamma}{\alpha\beta}. \tag{12}$$

Further, in order to find the first order semi-invariants one needs to engage the first extension of (11) on $J(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \alpha_\varrho, \beta_\varrho, \gamma_\varrho)$, where $\varrho = t, x$. This application results in a system of linear PDEs that solves to the following invariant quantities

$$I_2 = \frac{\alpha\beta}{\alpha_t}, \quad I_3 = \frac{\beta_x}{\alpha_t}, \quad I_4 = \frac{\gamma}{\alpha_t}, \quad I_5 = \frac{\alpha(\beta\gamma_t - \gamma\beta_t)}{\beta\alpha_t^2}, \quad I_6 = \frac{\alpha\gamma_x - \gamma\alpha_x}{\alpha^2\alpha_t}. \tag{13}$$

Further, the joint invariants of the hyperbolic equation have been deduced in [7, 8]. These invariants are derived by applying an operator of the form (11) on the space of the Laplace semi-invariants h and k given in (8). Therefore, the first task is to transform the operator (11) in the variables $h,\ k$ and then apply it on functions of these variables $J(h, k)$ and their derivatives $J(h, k, h_\varrho, k_\varrho)$ and so on, in order to get the zeroth, first and higher order *joint invariants* of the linear hyperbolic equation, respectively. After writing the generator (11) in the space of the Laplace invariants $h,\ k$, i.e.

$$\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{Z}(h)\partial_h + \mathbf{Z}(k)\partial_k, \tag{14}$$

its first extension reads as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Z}^{[1]} = {} & \xi_1(t)\partial_t + \xi_2(x)\partial_x - (\xi_{1,t} + \xi_{2,x})h\partial_h - (\xi_{1,t} + \xi_{2,x})k\partial_k - (\xi_{1,tt}h + 2\xi_{1,t}h_t + \xi_{2,x}h_t)\partial_{h_t} \\ & -(\xi_{1,t}h_x + \xi_{2,xx}h + 2\xi_{2,x}h_x)\partial_{h_x} - (\xi_{1,tt}k + 2\xi_{1,t}k_t + \xi_{2,x}k_t)\partial_{k_t} - (\xi_{1,t}k_x + \xi_{2,xx}k \\ & +2\xi_{2,x}k_x)\partial_{k_x}.\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

It yields the following joint invariants [7, 8]

$$\begin{aligned} J_1 &= \frac{k}{h}, \\ J_2 &= \frac{(hk_{,t} - kh_{,t})(hk_{,x} - kh_{,x})}{h^5}, \\ J_3 &= \frac{kh_{,tx} + hk_{,tx} - h_{,t}k_{,x} - h_{,x}k_{,t}}{h^3}, \\ J_4 &= \frac{(hk_{,x} - kh_{,x})^2(hkh_{,tt} - h^2k_{,tt} - 3kh_{,t}^2 + 3hh_{,t}k_{,t})}{h^9}, \\ J_5 &= \frac{(hk_{,t} - kh_{,t})^2(hkh_{,xx} - h^2k_{,xx} - 3kh_{,x}^2 + 3hh_{,x}k_{,x})}{h^9}, \\ J_6 &= \frac{k(hh_{,tx} - h_{,t}h_{,x})}{h^4}, \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

of the scalar linear hyperbolic equation (4).

3. Invariants of a system of two hyperbolic-type equations by real procedure

Invariants of a system of two linear hyperbolic PDEs (1) have been determined by using the infinitesimal method [1]. The derivation of these invariants starts with determination of the equivalence transformations that map the system of two linear hyperbolic equations into a system of the same form, with different coefficients. The generators associated with these infinitesimal transformations are then applied to obtain invariants of (1). Derivation of the invariants associated with the subclass (2) of the system of hyperbolic equations (1) is presented in the remaining part of this section, by real infinitesimal method.

The system of two hyperbolic-type PDEs (2) is obtainable from a hyperbolic PDE with two independent variables, when the dependent variable of equation (3) is considered complex. Such systems have a CR-structure due to this correspondence. Thus they are said to be *CR-structured systems*. The group of equivalence transformations associated with (2) is obtained when the following generator

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Z} &= \xi^1\partial_t + \xi^2\partial_x + \eta^1\partial_u + \eta^2\partial_v + \eta^1_t\partial_{u_t} + \eta^1_x\partial_{u_x} + \eta^2_t\partial_{v_t} + \eta^2_x\partial_{v_x} + \eta^1_{tx}\partial_{u_{tx}} + \eta^2_{tx}\partial_{v_{tx}} \\ &+\mu^{11}\partial_{\alpha_1} + \mu^{12}\partial_{\alpha_2} + \mu^{21}\partial_{\beta_1} + \mu^{22}\partial_{\beta_2} + \mu^{31}\partial_{\gamma_1} + \mu^{32}\partial_{\gamma_2},\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

acts on both the equations of the system (2). Where ξ^κ, η^κ are functions of (t, x, u, v) and $\mu^{1\kappa}, \mu^{2\kappa}$, $\mu^{3\kappa}$, $\kappa = 1, 2$, are functions of $(t, x, u, v, \alpha_\kappa, \beta_\kappa, \gamma_\kappa)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\eta^1_t &= D_t(\eta^1) - u_tD_t(\xi^1) - u_xD_t(\xi^2),\\ \eta^2_t &= D_t(\eta^2) - v_tD_t(\xi^1) - v_xD_t(\xi^2),\\ \eta^1_x &= D_x(\eta^1) - u_tD_x(\xi^1) - u_xD_x(\xi^2),\\ \eta^2_x &= D_x(\eta^2) - v_tD_x(\xi^1) - v_xD_x(\xi^2),\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

with D_t and D_x as total derivatives with respect to t and x. Implication of (17) yields a system of linear PDEs that leads to

$$\begin{aligned}&\xi_1 = F_1(t), \quad \xi_2 = F_2(x),\\ &\mu^{11} = -F_{3,x} - \alpha_1F_{2,x}, \quad \mu^{12} = F_{4,x} - \alpha_2F_{2,x},\\ &\mu^{21} = -F_{3,t} - \beta_1F_{1,t}, \quad \mu^{22} = F_{4,t} - \beta_2F_{1,t},\\ &\mu^{31} = -F_{3,tx} - \alpha_1F_{3,t} - \alpha_2F_{4,t} - \beta_1F_{3,x} - \beta_2F_{4,x} - \gamma_1(F_{1,t} + F_{2,x}),\\ &\mu^{32} = F_{4,tx} + \alpha_1F_{4,t} - \alpha_2F_{3,t} + \beta_1F_{4,x} - \beta_2F_{3,x} - \gamma_2(F_{1,t} + F_{2,x}),\end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

where F_3 and F_4 depends on (t, x).

The first order semi-invariants

$$\begin{aligned} h_1^r &= \alpha_{1,t} + \alpha_1\beta_1 - \alpha_2\beta_2 - \gamma_1, \\ h_2^r &= \alpha_{2,t} + \alpha_1\beta_2 + \alpha_2\beta_1 - \gamma_2, \\ k_1^r &= \beta_{1,x} + \alpha_1\beta_1 - \alpha_2\beta_2 - \gamma_1, \\ k_2^r &= \beta_{2,x} + \alpha_1\beta_2 + \alpha_2\beta_1 - \gamma_2, \end{aligned} \tag{20}$$

associated with the system (2) due to a change of (only) dependent variables

$$\eta^1 = F_3 u + F_4 v, \quad \eta^2 = F_3 v - F_4 u, \tag{21}$$

are obtained by employing the generator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{X} = &-F_{3,x}\partial_{\alpha_1} + F_{4,x}\partial_{\alpha_2} - F_{3,t}\partial_{\beta_1} + F_{4,t}\partial_{\beta_2} - (F_{3,tx} + \alpha_1 F_{3,t} + \alpha_2 F_{4,t} \\ &+ \beta_1 F_{3,x} + \beta_2 F_{4,x})\partial_{\gamma_1} + (F_{4,tx} + \alpha_1 F_{4,t} - \alpha_2 F_{3,t} + \beta_1 F_{4,x} - \beta_2 F_{3,x})\partial_{\gamma_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

It is extracted from (19) by considering $F_1(t) = F_2(x) = 0$, and $F_3(t,x),\ F_4(t,x)$ as arbitrary functions of their arguments. When it acts on $J(\alpha_\kappa, \beta_\kappa, \gamma_\kappa, \alpha_{\kappa,t}, \beta_{\kappa,t}, \gamma_{\kappa,t}, \alpha_{\kappa,x}, \beta_{\kappa,x}, \gamma_{\kappa,x})$ we obtain (20) by solving the resulting linear system of PDEs.

Considering only a change of the independent variables, i.e., keeping $F_1(t),\ F_2(x)$ as arbitrary functions of their arguments and $F_3(t,x) = F_4(t,x) = 0$, leads to an infinitesimal generator

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Z}_I = &F_1(t)\partial_t + F_2(x)\partial_x - \alpha_1 F_{2,x}\partial_{\alpha_1} - \alpha_2 F_{2,x}\partial_{\alpha_2} - \beta_1 F_{1,t}\partial_{\beta_1} - \beta_2 F_{1,t}\partial_{\beta_2} \\ &- \gamma_1(F_{1,t} + F_{2,x})\partial_{\gamma_1} - \gamma_2(F_{1,t} + F_{2,x})\partial_{\gamma_2}. \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

Applying it on $J(\alpha_\kappa, \beta_\kappa, \gamma_\kappa)$ yields the following zeroth order invariants

$$I_1^r = \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_1}, \quad I_2^r = \frac{\beta_2}{\beta_1}, \quad I_3^r = \frac{\gamma_1}{\alpha_1\beta_1}, \quad I_4^r = \frac{\gamma_2}{\alpha_1\beta_1}. \tag{24}$$

Further, the first order invariants are obtained when the once extended generator (23) acts on $J(\alpha_\kappa, \beta_\kappa, \gamma_\kappa, \alpha_{\kappa,t}, \beta_{\kappa,t}, \gamma_{\kappa,t}, \alpha_{\kappa,x}, \beta_{\kappa,x}, \gamma_{\kappa,x})$, gives the following quantities

$$\begin{aligned} &I_5^r = \frac{\alpha_{1,t}}{\alpha_1\beta_1}, \quad I_6^r = \frac{\alpha_{2,t}}{\alpha_1\beta_1}, \quad I_7^r = \frac{\beta_{1,x}}{\alpha_1\beta_1}, \quad I_8^r = \frac{\beta_{2,x}}{\alpha_1\beta_1}, \\ &I_9^r = \frac{\beta_1\beta_{2,t} - \beta_2\beta_{1,t}}{b_1^3}, \quad I_{10}^r = \frac{\beta_1\gamma_{1,t} - \gamma_1\beta_{1,t}}{\alpha_1\beta_1^3}, \quad I_{11}^r = \frac{\beta_1\gamma_{2,t} - \gamma_2\beta_{1,t}}{\alpha_1\beta_1^3}, \\ &I_{12}^r = \frac{\alpha_1\alpha_{2,x} - \alpha_2\alpha_{1,x}}{\alpha_1^3}, \quad I_{13}^r = \frac{\alpha_1\gamma_{1,x} - \gamma_1\alpha_{1,x}}{\alpha_1^3\beta_1}, \quad I_{14}^r = \frac{\alpha_1\gamma_{2,x} - \gamma_2\alpha_{1,x}}{\alpha_1^3\beta_1}, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

including the four zeroth order invariants (24).

The joint invariants of the system (2)

$$J_1^r = \frac{h_2^r}{h_1^r}, \quad J_2^r = \frac{k_1^r}{h_1^r}, \quad J_3^r = \frac{k_2^r}{h_1^r}, \tag{26}$$

are found when the following PDE

$$h_1^r \partial_{h_1^r} + h_2^r \partial_{h_2^r} + k_1^r \partial_{k_1^r} + k_2^r \partial_{k_2^r} = 0, \tag{27}$$

is solved. This equation appears due to action of the infinitesimal generator (23) that is associated with the change of the independent variables to the space of invariants $h_\kappa^r,\ k_\kappa^r$.

4. Invariants of a system of two hyperbolic-type equations by complex procedure

Semi-invariants associated with a system of two hyperbolic-type equations (2) that is obtained from a scalar linear hyperbolic equation (3), are derived in this section by complex methods. A few of the invariants presented here have already been provided [4], we demonstrate the complete complex procedure involved to re-derive them. The generator of the form (7) associated with the equation (4) becomes complex due to the presence of the complex dependent variable and the complex coefficients split (7) into two operators

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{X}_1 = {} & \eta_{1,z_2}\partial_{\alpha_1} + \eta_{2,z_2}\partial_{\alpha_2} + \eta_{1,z_1}\partial_{\beta_1} + \eta_{2,z_1}\partial_{\beta_2} + (\eta_{1,z_1z_2} + \alpha_1\eta_{1,z_1} - \alpha_2\eta_{2,z_1} + \beta_1\eta_{1,z_2} \\ & -\beta_2\eta_{2,z_2})\partial_{\gamma_1} + (\eta_{2,z_1z_2} + \alpha_2\eta_{1,z_1} + \alpha_1\eta_{2,z_1} + \beta_2\eta_{1,z_2} + \beta_1\eta_{2,z_2})\partial_{\gamma_2},\end{aligned} \tag{28}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{X}_2 = {} & \eta_{2,z_2}\partial_{\alpha_1} - \eta_{1,z_2}\partial_{\alpha_2} + \eta_{2,z_1}\partial_{\beta_1} - \eta_{1,z_1}\partial_{\beta_2} + (\eta_{2,z_1z_2} + \alpha_2\eta_{1,z_1} + \alpha_1\eta_{2,z_1} + \beta_2\eta_{1,z_2} \\ & +\beta_1\eta_{2,z_2})\partial_{\gamma_1} - (\eta_{1,z_1z_2} + \alpha_1\eta_{1,z_1} - \alpha_2\eta_{2,z_1} + \beta_1\eta_{1,z_2} - \beta_2\eta_{2,z_2})\partial_{\gamma_2}.\end{aligned} \tag{29}$$

There are four first order semi-invariants

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 &= \alpha_{1,z_1} + \alpha_1\beta_1 - \alpha_2\beta_2 - \gamma_1, \\ h_2 &= \alpha_{2,z_1} + \alpha_2\beta_1 + \alpha_1\beta_2 - \gamma_2, \\ k_1 &= \beta_{1,z_2} + \alpha_1\beta_1 - \alpha_2\beta_2 - \gamma_1, \\ k_2 &= \beta_{2,z_2} + \alpha_2\beta_1 + \alpha_1\beta_2 - \gamma_2, \end{aligned} \tag{30}$$

that are found to be associated with the system (2) on employing the pair of operators (28) and (29). These are exactly the same as represented by $h_\kappa^r,\ k_\kappa^r$ in (20). Therefore, in this case

the real and complex procedures lead to the same semi-invariants of the system (2). Notice that all the four semi-invariants (30) are readable from the the first order semi-invariants associated with the complex hyperbolic linear equation (4) and satisfy

$$\mathbf{X}_1^{[1]}h_1\mid_{h_1=0}=\mathbf{X}_2^{[1]}h_2\mid_{h_2=0}=\mathbf{X}_1^{[1]}k_1\mid_{k_1=0}=\mathbf{X}_2^{[1]}k_2\mid_{k_2=0}=0. \tag{31}$$

The linear combination $\mathbf{X}_3$ of both the operators $\mathbf{X}_1$ and $\mathbf{X}_2$ results in the following relations

$$\mathbf{X}_3^{[1]}h_1\mid_{h_1=0}=\mathbf{X}_3^{[1]}h_2\mid_{h_2=0}=\mathbf{X}_3^{[1]}k_1\mid_{k_1=0}=\mathbf{X}_3^{[1]}k_2\mid_{k_2=0}=0. \tag{32}$$

The semi-invariants of the system of two hyperbolic-type PDEs under a transformation of the independent variables are

$$I_1^c=\frac{(\alpha_1\gamma_1+\alpha_2\gamma_2)\beta_1+(\alpha_1\gamma_2-\alpha_2\gamma_1)\beta_2}{(\alpha_1^2+\alpha_2^2)(\beta_1^2+\beta_2^2)},$$
$$I_2^c=\frac{(\alpha_1\gamma_2-\alpha_2\gamma_1)\beta_1-(\alpha_1\gamma_1+\alpha_2\gamma_2)\beta_2}{(\alpha_1^2+\alpha_2^2)(\beta_1^2+\beta_2^2)},$$

$$I_3^c=\frac{(\alpha_1\beta_1-\alpha_2\beta_2)\alpha_{1,t}+(\alpha_2\beta_1+\alpha_1\beta_2)\alpha_{2,t}}{\alpha_{1,t}^2+\alpha_{2,t}^2},$$
$$I_4^c=\frac{(\alpha_2\beta_1+\alpha_1\beta_2)\alpha_{1,t}-(\alpha_1\beta_1-\alpha_2\beta_2)\alpha_{2,t}}{\alpha_{1,t}^2+\alpha_{2,t}^2},$$

$$I_5^c=\frac{\alpha_{1,t}\beta_{1,x}+\alpha_{2,t}\beta_{2,x}}{\alpha_{1,t}^2+\alpha_{2,t}^2},\quad I_6^c=\frac{\alpha_{1,t}\beta_{2,x}-\alpha_{2,t}\beta_{1,x}}{\alpha_{1,t}^2+\alpha_{2,t}^2},$$

$$I_7^c=\frac{\alpha_{1,t}\gamma_1+\alpha_{2,t}\gamma_2}{\alpha_{1,t}^2+\alpha_{2,t}^2},\quad I_8^c=\frac{\alpha_{1,t}\gamma_2-\alpha_{2,t}\gamma_1}{\alpha_{1,t}^2+\alpha_{2,t}^2},$$

$$\begin{aligned}I_9^c=&\tfrac{(\alpha_{1,t}^2-\alpha_{2,t}^2)}{(\alpha_{1,t}^2+\alpha_{2,t}^2)^2(\beta_1^2+\beta_2^2)}[\alpha_1\beta_1(\beta_1\gamma_{1,t}-\beta_2\gamma_{2,t}-\gamma_1\beta_{1,t}+\gamma_2\beta_{2,t})-\alpha_2\beta_1(\beta_2\gamma_{1,t}+\beta_1\gamma_{2,t}\\&-\gamma_2\beta_{1,t}-\gamma_1\beta_{2,t})+\alpha_2\beta_2(\beta_1\gamma_{1,t}-\beta_2\gamma_{2,t}-\gamma_1\beta_{1,t}+\gamma_2\beta_{2,t})+\alpha_1\beta_2(\beta_2\gamma_{1,t}+\beta_1\gamma_{2,t}\\&-\gamma_2\beta_{1,t}-\gamma_1\beta_{2,t})]+\tfrac{2\alpha_{1,t}\alpha_{2,t}}{(\alpha_{1,t}^2+\alpha_{2,t}^2)^2(\beta_1^2+\beta_2^2)}[\alpha_2\beta_1(\beta_1\gamma_{1,t}-\beta_2\gamma_{2,t}-\gamma_1\beta_{1,t}+\gamma_2\beta_{2,t})\\&+\alpha_1\beta_1(\beta_2\gamma_{1,t}+\beta_1\gamma_{2,t}-\gamma_2\beta_{1,t}-\gamma_1\beta_{2,t})-\alpha_1\beta_2(\beta_1\gamma_{1,t}-\beta_2\gamma_{2,t}-\gamma_1\beta_{1,t}+\gamma_2\beta_{2,t})\\&+\alpha_2\beta_2(\beta_2\gamma_{1,t}+\beta_1\gamma_{2,t}-\gamma_2\beta_{1,t}-\gamma_1\beta_{2,t})],\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

$$\begin{aligned}I_{10}^c=&\tfrac{(\alpha_{1,t}^2-\alpha_{2,t}^2)}{(\alpha_{1,t}^2+\alpha_{2,t}^2)^2(\beta_1^2+\beta_2^2)}[\alpha_2\beta_1(\beta_1\gamma_{1,t}-\beta_2\gamma_{2,t}-\gamma_1\beta_{1,t}+\gamma_2\beta_{2,t})+\alpha_1\beta_1(\beta_2\gamma_{1,t}+\beta_1\gamma_{2,t}\\&-\gamma_2\beta_{1,t}-\gamma_1\beta_{2,t})-\alpha_1\beta_2(\beta_1\gamma_{1,t}-\beta_2\gamma_{2,t}-\gamma_1\beta_{1,t}+\gamma_2\beta_{2,t})+\alpha_2\beta_2(\beta_2\gamma_{1,t}+\beta_1\gamma_{2,t}\\&-\gamma_2\beta_{1,t}-\gamma_1\beta_{2,t})]-\tfrac{2\alpha_{1,t}\alpha_{2,t}}{(\alpha_{1,t}^2+\alpha_{2,t}^2)^2(\beta_1^2+\beta_2^2)}[\alpha_1\beta_1(\beta_1\gamma_{1,t}-\beta_2\gamma_{2,t}-\gamma_1\beta_{1,t}+\gamma_2\beta_{2,t})\\&-\alpha_2\beta_1(\beta_2\gamma_{1,t}+\beta_1\gamma_{2,t}-\gamma_2\beta_{1,t}-\gamma_1\beta_{2,t})+\alpha_2\beta_2(\beta_1\gamma_{1,t}-\beta_2\gamma_{2,t}-\gamma_1\beta_{1,t}+\gamma_2\beta_{2,t})\\&+\alpha_1\beta_2(\beta_2\gamma_{1,t}+\beta_1\gamma_{2,t}-\gamma_2\beta_{1,t}-\gamma_1\beta_{2,t})],\end{aligned} \tag{34}$$

$$I^c_{11} = \frac{(\alpha_1^2-\alpha_2^2)}{(\alpha_{1,t}^2+\alpha_{2,t}^2)(\alpha_1^2+\alpha_2^2)^2}[(\alpha_1\gamma_{1,x} - \alpha_2\gamma_{2,x} - \gamma_1\alpha_{1,x} + \gamma_2\alpha_{2,x})\alpha_{1,t} + (\alpha_2\gamma_{1,x} + \alpha_1\gamma_{2,x} - \gamma_2\alpha_{1,x} - \gamma_1\alpha_{2,x})\alpha_{2,t}] + \frac{2\alpha_1\alpha_2}{(\alpha_{1,t}^2+\alpha_{2,t}^2)(\alpha_1^2+\alpha_2^2)^2}[(\alpha_2\gamma_{1,x} + \alpha_1\gamma_{2,x} - \gamma_2\alpha_{1,x} - \gamma_1\alpha_{2,x})\alpha_{1,t} - (\alpha_1\gamma_{1,x} - \alpha_1\gamma_{2,x} - \gamma_1\alpha_{1,x} + \gamma_2\alpha_{2,x})\alpha_{2,t}], \tag{35}$$

$$I^c_{12} = \frac{(\alpha_1^2-\alpha_2^2)}{(\alpha_{1,t}^2+\alpha_{2,t}^2)(\alpha_1^2+\alpha_2^2)^2}[(\alpha_2\gamma_{1,x} + \alpha_1\gamma_{2,x} - \gamma_2\alpha_{1,x} - \gamma_1\alpha_{2,x})\alpha_{1,t} - (\alpha_1\gamma_{1,x} - \alpha_2\gamma_{2,x} - \gamma_1\alpha_{1,x} + \gamma_2\alpha_{2,x})\alpha_{2,t}] - \frac{2\alpha_1\alpha_2}{(\alpha_{1,t}^2+\alpha_{2,t}^2)(\alpha_1^2+\alpha_2^2)^2}[(\alpha_1\gamma_{1,x} - \alpha_2\gamma_{2,x} - \gamma_1\alpha_{1,x} + \gamma_2\alpha_{2,x})\alpha_{1,t} + (\alpha_2\gamma_{1,x} + \alpha_1\gamma_{2,x} - \gamma_2\alpha_{1,x} - \gamma_1\alpha_{2,x})\alpha_{2,t}]. \tag{36}$$

The correspondence of these semi-invariants of independent variables with the system of the hyperbolic-type equations is established due to the following operators

$$\mathbf{X}_1 = 2\xi_1\partial_t + 2\xi_2\partial_x - \alpha_1\xi_{2,x}\partial_{\alpha_1} - \alpha_2\xi_{2,x}\partial_{\alpha_2} - \beta_1\xi_{1,t}\partial_{\beta_1} - \beta_2\xi_{1,t}\partial_{\beta_2} - \gamma_1(\xi_{1,t} + \xi_{2,x})\partial_{\gamma_1} - \gamma_2(\xi_{1,t} + \xi_{2,x})\partial_{\gamma_2}, \tag{37}$$

$$\mathbf{X}_2 = -\alpha_2\xi_{2,x}\partial_{\alpha_1} + \alpha_1\xi_{2,x}\partial_{\alpha_2} - \beta_2\xi_{1,t}\partial_{\beta_1} + \beta_1\xi_{1,t}\partial_{\beta_2} - \gamma_2(\xi_{1,t} + \xi_{2,x})\partial_{\gamma_1} + \gamma_1(\xi_{1,t} + \xi_{2,x})\partial_{\gamma_2}, \tag{38}$$

that are the real and imaginary parts of the complex generator (11). Using these operators it is observed that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{X}_1^{[1]} I_1^c \mid_{I_1^c=0} = \mathbf{X}_2^{[1]} I_2^c \mid_{I_2^c=0} = \mathbf{X}_1^{[1]} I_3^c \mid_{I_3^c=0} = \mathbf{X}_2^{[1]} I_4^c \mid_{I_3^c=I_4^c=0} = 0,\\
&\mathbf{X}_1^{[1]} I_5^c \mid_{I_5^c=0} = \mathbf{X}_2^{[1]} I_6^c \mid_{I_5^c=I_6^c=0} = \mathbf{X}_1^{[1]} I_7^c \mid_{I_7^c=0} = \mathbf{X}_2^{[1]} I_8^c \mid_{I_7^c=I_8^c=0} = 0,\\
&\mathbf{X}_1^{[1]} I_9^c \mid_{I_9^c=0} = \mathbf{X}_2^{[1]} I_{10}^c \mid_{I_9^c=I_{10}^c=0} = \mathbf{X}_1^{[1]} I_{11}^c \mid_{I_{11}^c=0} = \mathbf{X}_2^{[1]} I_{12}^c \mid_{I_{11}^c=I_{12}^c=0} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{39}$$

It is seen that the above invariants are complex splits of their real analogues (13). Similarly, the linear combination of both $\mathbf{X}_1$ and $\mathbf{X}_2$, if denoted by $\mathbf{X}_3$, satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{X}_3^{[1]} I_1^c \mid_{I_1^c=0} = \mathbf{X}_3^{[1]} I_2^c \mid_{I_2^c=0} = \mathbf{X}_3^{[1]} I_3^c \mid_{I_3^c=0} = \mathbf{X}_3^{[1]} I_4^c \mid_{I_3^c=I_4^c=0} = 0,\\
&\mathbf{X}_3^{[1]} I_5^c \mid_{I_5^c=0} = \mathbf{X}_3^{[1]} I_6^c \mid_{I_5^c=I_6^c=0} = \mathbf{X}_3^{[1]} I_7^c \mid_{I_7^c=I_8^c=0} = \mathbf{X}_3^{[1]} I_8^c \mid_{I_7^c=I_8^c=0} = 0,\\
&\mathbf{X}_3^{[1]} I_9^c \mid_{I_9^c=I_{10}^c=0} = \mathbf{X}_3^{[1]} I_{10}^c \mid_{I_9^c=I_{10}^c=0} = \mathbf{X}_3^{[1]} I_{11}^c \mid_{I_{11}^c=I_{12}^c=0} = \mathbf{X}_3^{[1]} I_{12}^c \mid_{I_{11}^c=I_{12}^c=0} = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{40}$$

To work out the joint invariants of the coupled system of two hyperbolic-type equations (2), the operators (37) and (38) need to be transformed to the space of invariants h_κ, k_κ. The same procedure was adopted in [7] before using the generator (11) in determining the joint invariants of the scalar linear hyperbolic equation. The complex generator was transformed to h and k, i.e. to the space of the semi-invariants associated with the hyperbolic equation under a change of the dependent variables. The procedure to transform (37) and (38) to

$(h_\kappa, k_\kappa)-$ *space* starts with splitting (14) when $\mathbf{Z}(h)$ and $\mathbf{Z}(k)$ are taken as complex, i.e. $\mathbf{Z}(h)=\mathbf{Z}(h)_1+i\mathbf{Z}(h)_2$ and $\mathbf{Z}(k)=\mathbf{Z}(k)_1+i\mathbf{Z}(k)_2$. The real and imaginary parts of (14) are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{X}_1&=\frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{Z}(h)_1\partial_{h_1}+\mathbf{Z}(h)_2\partial_{h_2}+\mathbf{Z}(k)_1\partial_{k_1}+\mathbf{Z}(k)_2\partial_{k_2}],\\ \mathbf{X}_2&=\frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{Z}(h)_2\partial_{h_1}-\mathbf{Z}(h)_1\partial_{h_2}+\mathbf{Z}(k)_2\partial_{k_1}-\mathbf{Z}(k)_1\partial_{k_2}],\end{aligned}\tag{41}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{Z}(h)_1&=\mathbf{X}_1h_1-\mathbf{X}_2h_2=-(\xi_{1,t}+\xi_{2,x})h_1,\\ \mathbf{Z}(h)_2&=\mathbf{X}_2h_1+\mathbf{X}_1h_2=-(\xi_{1,t}+\xi_{2,x})h_2,\\ \mathbf{Z}(k)_1&=\mathbf{X}_1k_1-\mathbf{X}_2k_2=-(\xi_{1,t}+\xi_{2,x})k_1,\\ \mathbf{Z}(k)_2&=\mathbf{X}_2k_1+\mathbf{X}_1k_2=-(\xi_{1,t}+\xi_{2,x})k_2.\end{aligned}\tag{42}$$

Using (42) in (41) yields the following two operators

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{X}_1&=-\frac{(\xi_{1,t}+\xi_{2,x})}{2}[h_1\partial_{h_1}+h_2\partial_{h_2}+k_1\partial_{k_1}+k_2\partial_{k_2}],\\ \mathbf{X}_2&=-\frac{(\xi_{1,t}+\xi_{2,x})}{2}[h_2\partial_{h_1}-h_1\partial_{h_2}+k_2\partial_{k_1}-k_1\partial_{k_2}],\end{aligned}\tag{43}$$

that are the real and imaginary parts of the complex generator (15). These operators are used to arrive at the joint invariants for the system of two linear hyperbolic-type equations (2). We have the following joint invariants

$$\begin{aligned}J_{11}&=\frac{h_1k_1+h_2k_2}{k_1^2+k_2^2},\\ J_{12}&=\frac{h_2k_1-h_1k_2}{k_1^2+k_2^2},\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}J_{13}=&\frac{(h_1^5-10h_1^3h_2^2+5h_1h_2^4)(h_1k_{1,t}-h_2k_{2,t}-k_1h_{1,t}+k_2h_{2,t})}{(h_1^5-10h_1^3h_2^2+5h_1h_2^4)^2+(5h_1^4h_2-10h_1^2h_2^3+h_2^5)^2}(h_1k_{1,x}-h_2k_{2,x}-k_1h_{1,x}+k_2h_{2,x})\\ &+\frac{(5h_1^4h_2-10h_1^2h_2^3+h_2^5)(h_2k_{1,t}+h_1k_{2,t}-k_2h_{1,t}-k_1h_{2,t})}{(h_1^5-10h_1^3h_2^2+5h_1h_2^4)^2+(5h_1^4h_2-10h_1^2h_2^3+h_2^5)^2}(h_1k_{1,x}-h_2k_{2,x}-k_1h_{1,x}+k_2h_{2,x})\\ &+\frac{(5h_1^4h_2-10h_1^2h_2^3+h_2^5)(h_1k_{1,t}-h_2k_{2,t}-k_1h_{1,t}+k_2h_{2,t})}{(h_1^5-10h_1^3h_2^2+5h_1h_2^4)^2+(5h_1^4h_2-10h_1^2h_2^3+h_2^5)^2}(h_2k_{1,x}+h_1k_{2,x}-k_2h_{1,x}-k_1h_{2,x})\\ &+\frac{(h_1^5-10h_1^3h_2^2+5h_1h_2^4)(h_2k_{1,t}+h_1k_{2,t}-k_1h_{1,t}-k_1h_{2,t})}{(h_1^5-10h_1^3h_2^2+5h_1h_2^4)^2+(5h_1^4h_2-10h_1^2h_2^3+h_2^5)^2}(h_2k_{1,x}+h_1k_{2,x}-k_2h_{1,x}-k_1h_{2,x}),\end{aligned}$$

$$J_{14}=\frac{-(5h_1^4h_2-10h_1^2h_2^3+h_2^5)(h_1k_{1,t}-h_2k_{2,t}-k_1h_{1,t}+k_2h_{2,t})}{(h_1^5-10h_1^3h_2^2+5h_1h_2^4)^2+(5h_1^4h_2-10h_1^2h_2^3+h_2^5)^2}(h_1k_{1,x}-h_2k_{2,x}-k_1h_{1,x}+k_2h_{2,x})$$

$$+\frac{(h_1^5-10h_1^3h_2^2+5h_1h_2^4)(h_2k_{1,t}+h_1k_{2,t}-k_2h_{1,t}-k_1h_{2,t})}{(h_1^5-10h_1^3h_2^2+5h_1h_2^4)^2+(5h_1^4h_2-10h_1^2h_2^3+h_2^5)^2}(h_1k_{1,x}-h_2k_{2,x}-k_1h_{1,x}+k_2h_{2,x})$$
$$+\frac{(h_1^5-10h_1^3h_2^2+5h_1h_2^4)(h_1k_{1,t}-h_2k_{2,t}-k_1h_{1,t}+k_2h_{2,t})}{(h_1^5-10h_1^3h_2^2+5h_1h_2^4)^2+(5h_1^4h_2-10h_1^2h_2^3+h_2^5)^2}(h_2k_{1,x}+h_1k_{2,x}-k_2h_{1,x}-k_1h_{2,x})$$
$$+\frac{(5h_1^4h_2-10h_1^2h_2^3+h_2^5)(h_2k_{1,t}+h_1k_{2,t}-k_1h_{1,t}-k_1h_{2,t})}{(h_1^5-10h_1^3h_2^2+5h_1h_2^4)^2+(5h_1^4h_2-10h_1^2h_2^3+h_2^5)^2}(h_2k_{1,x}+h_1k_{2,x}-k_2h_{1,x}-k_1h_{2,x}),$$

$$J_{15}=\frac{(h_1^3-3h_1h_2^2)(k_1h_{1,tx}-k_2h_{2,tx}+h_1k_{1,tx}-h_2k_{2,tx}-h_{1,t}k_{1,x}+h_{2,t}k_{2,x}-h_{1,x}k_{1,t}+h_{2,x}k_{2,t})}{(h_1^3-3h_1h_2^2)^2+(3h_1^2h_2-h_2^3)^2}$$
$$+\frac{(3h_1^2h_2-h_2^3)(k_2h_{1,tx}+k_1h_{2,tx}+h_2k_{1,tx}+h_1k_{2,tx}-h_{2,t}k_{1,x}-h_{1,t}k_{2,x}-h_{2,x}k_{1,t}-h_{1,x}k_{2,t})}{(h_1^3-3h_1h_2^2)^2+(3h_1^2h_2-h_2^3)^2},$$

$$J_{16}=\frac{(3h_1^2h_2-h_2^3)(k_1h_{1,tx}-k_2h_{2,tx}+h_1k_{1,tx}-h_2k_{2,tx}-h_{1,t}k_{1,x}+h_{2,t}k_{2,x}-h_{1,x}k_{1,t}+h_{2,x}k_{2,t})}{(h_1^3-3h_1h_2^2)^2+(3h_1^2h_2-h_2^3)^2}$$
$$+\frac{(h_1^3-3h_1h_2^2)(k_2h_{1,tx}+k_1h_{2,tx}+h_2k_{1,tx}+h_1k_{2,tx}-h_{2,t}k_{1,x}-h_{1,t}k_{2,x}-h_{2,x}k_{1,t}-h_{1,x}k_{2,t})}{(h_1^3-3h_1h_2^2)^2+(3h_1^2h_2-h_2^3)^2},$$

$$J_{17}=\frac{k_1(-6h_1^2h_2^2+h_1^4+h_2^4)+k_2(4h_1^3h_2-4h_1h_2^3)}{(-6h_1^2h_2^2+h_1^4+h_2^4)^2+(4h_1^3h_2-4h_1h_2^3)^2}(h_1h_{1,tx}-h_2h_{2,tx}-h_{1,t}h_{1,x}+h_{2,t}h_{2,x})$$
$$-\frac{k_2(-6h_1^2h_2^2+h_1^4+h_2^4)-k_1(4h_1^3h_2-4h_1h_2^3)}{(-6h_1^2h_2^2+h_1^4+h_2^4)^2+(4h_1^3h_2-4h_1h_2^3)^2}(h_2h_{1,tx}+h_1h_{2,tx}-h_{2,t}h_{1,x}-h_{1,t}h_{2,x}),$$

$$J_{18}=\frac{k_2(-6h_1^2h_2^2+h_1^4+h_2^4)-k_1(4h_1^3h_2-4h_1h_2^3)}{(-6h_1^2h_2^2+h_1^4+h_2^4)^2+(4h_1^3h_2-4h_1h_2^3)^2}(h_1h_{1,tx}-h_2h_{2,tx}-h_{1,t}h_{1,x}+h_{2,t}h_{2,x})$$
$$+\frac{k_1(-6h_1^2h_2^2+h_1^4+h_2^4)+k_2(4h_1^3h_2-4h_1h_2^3)}{(-6h_1^2h_2^2+h_1^4+h_2^4)^2+(4h_1^3h_2-4h_1h_2^3)^2}(h_2h_{1,tx}+h_1h_{2,tx}-h_{2,t}h_{1,x}-h_{1,t}h_{2,x}),$$

$$J_{19}=\frac{\mu_1\nu_1+\mu_2\nu_2}{\mu_1^2+\mu_2^2}\omega_1+\frac{\mu_2\nu_1-\mu_1\nu_2}{\mu_1^2+\mu_2^2}\omega_2,$$
$$J_{20}=\frac{\mu_1\nu_2-\mu_2\nu_1}{\mu_1^2+\mu_2^2}\omega_1+\frac{\mu_1\nu_1+\mu_2\nu_2}{\mu_1^2+\mu_2^2}\omega_2,$$
$$J_{21}=\frac{\mu_1\nu_3+\mu_2\nu_4}{\mu_1^2+\mu_2^2}\omega_3+\frac{\mu_2\nu_3-\mu_1\nu_4}{\mu_1^2+\mu_2^2}\omega_4,$$
$$J_{22}=\frac{\mu_1\nu_4-\mu_2\nu_3}{\mu_1^2+\mu_2^2}\omega_3+\frac{\mu_1\nu_3+\mu_2\nu_4}{\mu_1^2+\mu_2^2}\omega_4,$$

where

$$\mu_1=h_1^9-36h_1^7h_2^2+126h_1^5h_2^4-84h_1^3h_2^6+9h_1h_2^8,$$
$$\mu_2=9h_1^8h_2-84h_1^6h_2^3+126h_1^4h_2^5-36h_1^2h_2^7+h_2^9,$$

$$\nu_1 = k_2^2h_{2,x}^2 + 2h_1k_{2,x}k_1h_{2,x} - 2h_2k_{2,x}k_2h_{2,x} + 2h_2k_{1,x}k_1h_{2,x} - 4k_1h_{1,x}k_2h_{2,x} - k_1^2h_{2,x}^2 + h_2^2k_{2,x}^2$$
$$+2h_2k_{1,x}k_2h_{1,x} - 2h_1k_{1,x}k_1h_{1,x} + 2h_2k_{2,x}k_1h_{1,x} + k_1^2h_{1,x}^2 + h_1^2k_{1,x}^2 + 2h_1k_{2,x}k_2h_{1,x} - h_2^2k_{1,x}^2$$
$$-4h_1k_{1,x}h_2k_{2,x} - h_1^2k_{2,x}^2 - k_2^2h_{1,x}^2 + 2h_1k_{1,x}k_2h_{2,x},$$
$$\nu_2 = -2k_2h_{2,x}^2k_1 - 2h_1k_{1,x}k_1h_{2,x} - 2k_1h_{1,x}h_1k_{2,x} + 2h_2k_{2,x}k_2h_{1,x} - 2k_2^2h_{2,x}h_{1,x} + 2h_2k_{2,x}k_1h_{2,x}$$
$$+2k_2h_{2,x}h_2k_{1,x} - 2h_2^2k_{2,x}k_{1,x} + 2k_2h_{2,x}h_1k_{2,x} + 2h_1k_{1,x}^2h_2 - 2h_1k_{1,x}k_2h_{1,x} - 2h_2k_{2,x}^2h_1$$
$$+2h_1^2k_{1,x}k_{2,x} + 2k_1h_{1,x}^2k_2 - 2k_1h_{1,x}h_2k_{1,x} + 2k_1^2h_{1,x}h_{2,x},$$
$$\nu_3 = -2h_2k_{2,t}k_2h_{2,t} - k_1^2h_{2,t}^2 + 2h_2k_{1,t}k_2h_{1,t} - h_2^2k_{1,t}^2 + 2h_2k_{2,t}k_1h_{1,t} + h_1^2k_{1,t}^2 - 2h_1k_{1,t}k_1h_{1,t}$$
$$+k_1^2h_{1,t}^2 + k_2^2h_{2,t}^2 - 4h_1k_{2,t}h_2k_{1,t} - k_2^2h_{1,t}^2 + 2h_1k_{1,t}k_2h_{2,t} + 2h_1k_{2,t}k_1h_{2,t} - h_1^2k_{2,t}^2$$
$$-4k_1h_{1,t}k_2h_{2,t} + 2h_2k_{1,t}k_1h_{2,t} + 2h_1k_{2,t}k_2h_{1,t} + h_2^2k_{2,t}^2,$$
$$\nu_4 = 2h_2k_{2,t}k_2h_{1,t} + 2h_1k_{1,t}^2h_2 + 2h_1k_{2,t}k_2h_{2,t} + 2k_1h_{1,t}^2k_2 - 2h_1k_{2,t}k_1h_{1,t} + 2h_1^2k_{1,t}k_{2,t}$$
$$-2k_1h_{2,t}^2k_2 - 2h_2^2k_{1,t}k_{2,t} + 2h_2k_{2,t}k_1h_{2,t} + 2h_2k_{1,t}k_2h_{2,t} + 2k_1^2h_{1,t}h_{2,t} - 2k_2^2h_{1,t}h_{2,t}$$
$$-2h_2k_{1,t}k_1h_{1,t} - 2h_1k_{1,t}k_1h_{2,t} - 2h_1k_{1,t}k_2h_{1,t} - 2h_1k_{2,t}^2h_2,$$

and

$$\omega_1 = (h_1k_1 - h_2k_2)h_{1,tt} - (h_2k_1 + h_1k_2)h_{2,tt} + (-h_1^2 + h_2^2)k_{1,tt} + 2h_1h_2k_{2,tt} - 3k_1(h_{1,t}^2 - h_{2,t}^2)$$
$$+6k_2h_{1,t}h_{2,t} + (3h_1h_{1,t} - 3h_2h_{2,t})k_{1,t} - (3h_2h_{1,t} + 3h_1h_{2,t})k_{2,t},$$
$$\omega_2 = (h_2k_1 + h_1k_2)h_{1,tt} + (h_1k_1 - h_2k_2)h_{2,tt} + (-h_1^2 + h_2^2)k_{2,tt} - 2h_1h_2k_{1,tt} - 3k_2(h_{1,t}^2 - h_{2,t}^2)$$
$$-6k_1h_{1,t}h_{2,t} + (3h_2h_{1,t} + 3h_1h_{2,t})k_{1,t} + (3h_1h_{1,t} - 3h_2h_{2,t})k_{2,t},$$
$$\omega_3 = (h_1k_1 - h_2k_2)h_{1,xx} - (h_2k_1 + h_1k_2)h_{2,xx} + (-h_1^2 + h_2^2)k_{1,xx} + 2h_1h_2k_{2,xx} - 3k_1(h_{1,x}^2 - h_{2,x}^2)$$
$$+6k_2h_{1,x}h_{2,x} + (3h_1h_{1,x} - 3h_2h_{2,x})k_{1,x} - (3h_2h_{1,x} + 3h_1h_{2,x})k_{2,x},$$
$$\omega_4 = (h_2k_1 + h_1k_2)h_{1,xx} + (h_1k_1 - h_2k_2)h_{2,xx} + (-h_1^2 + h_2^2)k_{2,xx} - 2h_1h_2k_{1,xx} - 3k_2(h_{1,x}^2 - h_{2,x}^2)$$
$$-6k_1h_{1,x}h_{2,x} + (3h_2h_{1,x} + 3h_1h_{2,x})k_{1,x} + (3h_1h_{1,x} - 3h_2h_{2,x})k_{2,x},$$

which are found to be associated with the system of two linear hyperbolic-type PDEs (2). These also can be observed to be the complex split of the joint invariants (16).

5. Applications

In this section a few examples of systems of hyperbolic-type equations are provided to illustrate the invariance criteria developed.

1. A system of two hyperbolic-type PDEs

$$u_{tx} + \left(a_1 - \frac{1}{x}\right)u_t - a_2v_t + \left(b_1 + \frac{2}{t}\right)u_x - b_2v_x + \left(c_1 - \frac{b_1}{x} + 2\frac{a_1}{t} - \frac{2}{tx}\right)u$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&- \left(c_2 - \frac{b_2}{x} + 2\frac{a_2}{t}\right) v = 0, \\
&v_{tx} + a_2 u_t + \left(a_1 - \frac{1}{x}\right) v_t + b_2 u_x + \left(b_1 + \frac{2}{t}\right) v_x + \left(c_2 - \frac{b_2}{x} + 2\frac{a_2}{t}\right) u \\
&+ \left(c_1 - \frac{b_1}{x} + 2\frac{a_1}{t} - \frac{2}{tx}\right) v = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{44}
$$

corresponds to a complex hyperbolic equation in two independent variables

$$
w_{tx} + \left(a - \frac{1}{x}\right) w_t + \left(b + \frac{2}{t}\right) w_x + \left(c - \frac{b}{x} + 2\frac{a}{t} - \frac{2}{tx}\right) w = 0, \tag{45}
$$

where a is a complex constant $a = a_1 + ia_2$. The following complex transformation of the dependent variable $w = (x/t^2)\overline{w}$ maps the complex equation (45) to

$$
\overline{w}_{tx} + a\overline{w}_t + b\overline{w}_x + c\overline{w} = 0. \tag{46}
$$

Both the complex hyperbolic equations (45) and (46) are transformable to each other because they have the same semi-invariants

$$
h = ab - c = k. \tag{47}
$$

The system of hyperbolic-type equations (44) is transformable to

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\overline{u}_{tx} + a_1\overline{u}_t - a_2\overline{v}_t + b_1\overline{u}_x - b_2\overline{v}_x + c_1\overline{u} - c_2\overline{v} = 0, \\
&\overline{v}_{tx} + a_2\overline{u}_t + a_1\overline{v}_t + b_2\overline{u}_x + b_1\overline{v}_x + c_2\overline{u} + c_1\overline{v} = 0, .
\end{aligned} \tag{48}
$$

The real transformations of the dependent variables

$$
u = (x/t^2)\overline{u}, \quad v = (x/t^2)\overline{v}, \tag{49}
$$

are obtained by splitting the complex dependent transformation used to map the complex equations (45) and (46) into each other. Semi-invariants associated with both the systems (48) are

$$
\begin{aligned}
h_1 &= a_1 b_1 - a_2 b_2 - c_1 = k_1, \\
h_2 &= a_1 b_2 + a_2 b_1 - c_2 = k_2,
\end{aligned} \tag{50}
$$

which guarantees that both the systems are mappable into each other.

2. An uncoupled system of PDEs

$$
\begin{aligned}
u_{z_1 z_2} + 2az_1^2 u_{z_1} + 2bz_1 u_{z_2} + 4cz_1 u = 0, \\
v_{z_1 z_2} + 2az_1^2 v_{z_1} + 2bz_1 v_{z_2} + 4cz_1 v = 0,
\end{aligned} \tag{51}
$$

is transformable to

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tx} + atu_t + bu_x + cu = 0, \\ v_{tx} + atv_t + bv_x + cv = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

via invertible transformations of the independent variables

$$z_1 = \sqrt{t}, \quad z_2 = \frac{1}{2}(x-1). \tag{53}$$

These are the invertible maps that also reduce the base complex hyperbolic equation of the form

$$w_{z_1 z_2} + 2az_1^2 w_{z_1} + 2bz_1 w_{z_2} + 4cz_1 w = 0, \tag{54}$$

with the semi-invariants

$$I_1 = \frac{c}{abz_1^2}, \quad I_2 = bz_1^2, \quad I_3 = 0, \quad I_4 = \frac{c}{a}, \quad I_5 = 0 = I_6, \tag{55}$$

to a simple linear form

$$w_{tx} + atw_t + bw_x + cw = 0, \tag{56}$$

with the following semi-invariants

$$I_1 = \frac{c}{abt}, \quad I_2 = bt, \quad I_3 = 0, \quad I_4 = \frac{c}{a}, \quad I_5 = 0 = I_6. \tag{57}$$

Notice that the semi-invariants (55) and (57) are the same by means of the transformations of the independent variables (53). The complex hyperbolic equation (54) does not only yield an uncoupled system of the hyperbolic-type equations (51). In fact it gives a coupled system

$$\begin{aligned} u_{z_1 z_2} + 2a_1 z_1^2 u_{z_1} - 2a_2 z_1^2 v_{z_1} + 2b_1 z_1 u_{z_2} - 2b_2 z_1 v_{z_2} + 4c_1 z_1 u - 4c_2 z_1 v = 0, \\ v_{z_1 z_2} + 2a_2 z_1^2 u_{z_1} + 2a_1 z_1^2 v_{z_1} + 2b_2 z_1 u_{z_2} + 2b_1 z_1 v_{z_2} + 4c_2 z_1 u + 4c_1 z_1 v = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

This system of two hyperbolic-type equations can be mapped to

$$\begin{aligned} u_{tx} + a_1 tu_t - a_2 tv_t + b_1 u_x - b_2 v_x + c_1 u - c_2 v = 0, \\ v_{tx} + a_2 tu_t + a_1 tv_t + b_2 u_x + b_1 v_x + c_2 u + c_1 v = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{59}$$

under the transformations (53) that are already used to map the base complex equation to its canonical form.

3. Invoking the following change of the independent variables

$$z_1 = e^t, \quad z_2 = \sqrt{x}, \tag{60}$$

in a coupled system of two hyperbolic-type equations of the form

$$u_{z_1,z_2} + 2a_1 z_2 \ln z_1 u_{z_1} - 2a_2 z_2 \ln z_1 v_{z_1} + \frac{b_1}{z_1} u_{z_2} - \frac{b_2}{z_1} v_{z_2} + \frac{2c_1 z_2}{z_1} u - \frac{2c_2 z_2}{z_1} v = 0,$$
$$v_{z_1,z_2} + 2a_2 z_2 \ln z_1 u_{z_1} + 2a_1 z_2 \ln z_1 v_{z_1} + \frac{b_2}{z_1} u_{z_2} + \frac{b_1}{z_1} v_{z_2} + \frac{2c_2 z_2}{z_1} u + \frac{2c_1 z_2}{z_1} v = 0, \tag{61}$$

transforms it to

$$u_{tx} + a_1 t u_t - a_2 t v_t + b_1 u_x - b_2 v_x + c_1 u - c_2 v = 0,$$
$$v_{tx} + a_2 t u_t + a_1 t v_t + b_2 u_x + b_1 v_x + c_2 u + c_1 v = 0. \tag{62}$$

The transformation of these systems under the invertible change of the independent variables follows from the base complex hyperbolic equation

$$w_{z_1 z_2} + 2a \ln z_1 w_{z_1} + \frac{b}{z_1} w_{z_2} + \frac{2cz_2}{z_1} w = 0. \tag{63}$$

It can be transformed to another linear form

$$w_{tx} + atw_t + bw_x + cw = 0, \tag{64}$$

under the invertible transformations (60). Similarly, the invertible transformations of the independent variables (60) map the following system of PDEs

$$u_{z_1,z_2} + 2a_1 z_2 u_{z_1} - 2a_2 z_2 v_{z_1} + \frac{b_1}{z_1} u_{z_2} - \frac{b_2}{z_1} v_{z_2} + \frac{2c_1 z_2}{z_1} u - \frac{2c_2 z_2}{z_1} v = 0,$$
$$v_{z_1,z_2} + 2a_2 z_2 u_{z_1} + 2a_1 z_2 v_{z_1} + \frac{b_2}{z_1} u_{z_2} + \frac{b_1}{z_1} v_{z_2} + \frac{2c_2 z_2}{z_1} u + \frac{2c_1 z_2}{z_1} v = 0, \tag{65}$$

to

$$u_{tx} + a_1 u_t - a_2 v_t + b_1 u_x - b_2 v_x + c_1 u - c_2 v = 0,$$
$$v_{tx} + a_2 u_t + a_1 v_t + b_2 u_x + b_1 v_x + c_2 u + c_1 v = 0. \tag{66}$$

4. Consider an uncoupled system of two hyperbolic type PDEs

$$g_{1,tx} + \frac{\lambda}{2}(g_{1,t} + g_{1,x}) = 0,$$
$$g_{2,tx} + \frac{\lambda}{2}(g_{2,t} + g_{2,x}) = 0, \tag{67}$$

for which $h_1 = k_1 = \frac{\lambda^2}{4}$, and $h_2 = k_2 = 0$. This implies that

$$J_1 = 1, \quad J_2 = \cdots = J_{12} = 0. \tag{68}$$

The system (67) is transformable to another system with the same invariants as given in (68) where $h_1 = k_1 = -1$, $h_2 = k_2 = 0$. The transformed system reads as

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1,z_1z_2} + f_1 &= 0, \\ f_{2,z_1z_2} + f_2 &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{69}$$

The correspondence between the systems (67) and (69) is established by

$$z_1 = \frac{\lambda}{2}t, \quad z_2 = -\frac{\lambda}{2}x, \quad f_1 = g_1 \exp(\frac{\lambda t + \lambda x}{2}), \quad f_2 = g_2 \exp(\frac{\lambda t + \lambda x}{2}). \tag{70}$$

These transformations are obtainable from

$$z_1 = \frac{\lambda}{2}t, \quad z_2 = -\frac{\lambda}{2}x, \quad w = u \exp(\frac{\lambda t + \lambda x}{2}), \tag{71}$$

by $w = f_1 + if_2$ and $u = g_1 + ig_2$. The complex transformations map the complex scalar PDE

$$w_{,z_1z_2} + \frac{\lambda}{2}(w_{,z_1} + w_{,z_2}) = 0, \tag{72}$$

with $h = k = \frac{\lambda^2}{4}$ and $p = 1$, to an equation

$$u_{,tx} + u = 0, \tag{73}$$

for which $h = k = -1$ and $p = 1$. Notice that the substitution $\lambda = \lambda_1 + i\lambda_2$, in the equation (72) results in a coupled system of two hyperbolic-type PDEs but it can not be transformed by the complex method. The reason is the complex transformations (71) where the two independent variables split into four add extra dimensions. Therefore, the complex procedure fails for that case.

5. The complex transformations of the form

$$z_1 = \frac{1}{t}, \quad z_2 = 2x, \quad w = \frac{u}{x}, \tag{74}$$

map the following Lie canonical form

$$w_{,z_1z_2} + \alpha z_2^2 w_{,z_2} + 2w = 0, \tag{75}$$

to

$$u_{,tx} - \frac{1}{x}u_{,t} - \frac{\alpha x^2}{t^2}u_{,x} + \frac{1}{t^2}(\alpha x - 2)u = 0. \tag{76}$$

The invariant quantities associated with both the scalar Lie canonical form and the hyperbolic equations are $h = -1,\ k = 2\alpha x - 1,\ p = 2(1 - \alpha x)$ and $h = 2/t^2,\ k = \frac{2(1-\alpha x)}{t^2},\ p = 1 - \alpha x$,

respectively. Inserting $u = g_1 + ig_2$ in the equation (76) while keeping α *a real constant* yields an uncoupled system of two PDEs

$$\begin{aligned} g_{1,tx} - \frac{1}{x}g_{1,t} - \frac{\alpha x^2}{t^2}g_{1,x} + \frac{\alpha x - 2}{t^2}g_1 = 0, \\ g_{2,tx} - \frac{1}{x}g_{2,t} - \frac{\alpha x^2}{t^2}g_{2,x} + \frac{\alpha x - 2}{t^2}g_2 = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{77}$$

The system (77) is transformable to another system of the form

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1,z_1z_2} + \alpha x^2 f_{1,z_2} + 2f_1 = 0, \\ f_{2,z_1z_2} + \alpha x^2 f_{2,z_2} + 2f_2 = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{78}$$

under a change of the dependent and independent variables

$$z_1 = \frac{1}{t}, \quad z_2 = 2x, \quad f_1 = \frac{g_1}{x}, \quad f_2 = \frac{g_2}{x}. \tag{79}$$

These transformations are the real and imaginary parts of the complex transformations (74) and the transformed system is obtained by splitting the Lie canonical form (75) into the real and imaginary parts. The invariance criteria that ensure such a transformation of the system are satisfied. These quantities for both the systems (77) and (78) are

$$h_1 = \frac{2}{t^2}, \quad k_1 = \frac{2(1-\alpha x)}{t^2}, \quad h_2 = 0 = k_2, \quad p = \frac{-1}{\alpha x - 1}, \tag{80}$$

and

$$h_1 = -2, \quad k_1 = 2(\alpha x - 1), \quad h_2 = 0 = k_2, \quad p = \frac{1}{1-\alpha x}, \tag{81}$$

respectively.

A coupled system

$$\begin{aligned} g_{1,tx} - \frac{1}{x}g_{1,t} - \frac{\alpha_1 x^2}{t^2}g_{1,x} + \frac{\alpha_2 x^2}{t^2}g_{2,x} + \frac{\alpha_1 x - 2}{t^2}g_1 - \frac{\alpha_2 x}{t^2}g_2 = 0, \\ g_{2,tx} - \frac{1}{x}g_{2,t} - \frac{\alpha_2 x^2}{t^2}g_{1,x} - \frac{\alpha_1 x^2}{t^2}g_{2,x} + \frac{\alpha_2 x}{t^2}g_1 + \frac{\alpha_1 x - 2}{t^2}g_2 = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{82}$$

with the invariants

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 = \frac{2}{t^2}, \quad k_1 = \frac{2(1-\alpha_1 x)}{t^2}, \quad h_2 = 0, \quad k_2 = \frac{-2\alpha_2 x}{t^2}, \\ J_1 = \frac{1-\alpha_1 x}{(1-\alpha_1 x)^2 + \alpha_2^2 x^2}, \quad J_2 = \frac{\alpha_2 x}{(1-\alpha_1 x)^2 + \alpha_2^2 x^2}, \end{aligned} \tag{83}$$

is obtainable from the complex scalar PDE (76) when α is also complex, i.e., $\alpha = \alpha_1 + i\alpha_2$. Employing the transformations (79) on (82) one arrives at a coupled system

$$\begin{aligned} f_{1,z_1z_2} + \alpha_1 x^2 f_{1,z_2} - \alpha_2 x^2 f_{2,z_2} + 2f_1 = 0, \\ f_{2,z_1z_2} + \alpha_2 x^2 f_{1,z_2} + \alpha_1 x^2 f_{2,z_2} + 2f_2 = 0, \end{aligned} \tag{84}$$

which is the real analogue of the complex transformed equation (75) and satisfies the invariance criteria, where

$$\begin{aligned} h_1 = -2, \quad k_1 = 2(\alpha_1 x - 1), \quad h_2 = 0, \quad k_2 = 2\alpha_2 x, \\ J_1 = \frac{1 - \alpha_1 x}{(1 - \alpha_1 x)^2 + \alpha_2^2 x^2}, \quad J_2 = \frac{\alpha_2 x}{(1 - \alpha_1 x)^2 + \alpha_2^2 x^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{85}$$

6. Conclusion

Semi-invariants of the hyperbolic and parabolic PDEs in two independent variables have been obtained by transforming the dependent or independent variables [2, 3, 5, 6, 7]. Further, the infinitesimal approach has been utilized to derive the joint invariants for the hyperbolic and parabolic equations [7, 8, 9, 11, 10]. The semi-invariants of the hyperbolic and parabolic PDEs have been extended to systems of such equations by complex symmetry analysis [4, 12]. The real and complex approaches were investigated in this work for the invariants of a system of two linear hyperbolic equations.

Semi-invariants of a special class of systems of two hyperbolic-type PDEs were derived here using real and complex methods developed for such systems of equations. Both the procedures are adopted to find the semi-invariants of the system of two hyperbolic-type equations that is obtainable from a complex hyperbolic PDE. Semi-invariants associated with the invertible change of the dependent as well as independent variables are deduced by both the real and complex methods. It is shown that same invariant quantities for the system of hyperbolic-type PDEs appear due to complex and real procedures, in the case of transformations of only the dependent variables. However, the semi-invariants of this system obtained by real symmetry analysis are different from those provided by the complex procedure. Furthermore, the joint invariants of this system of hyperbolic-type equations obtained by both the methods are also found to be different.

Acknowledgments. FM is thankful to the NRF of South Africa for an enabling research grant. AA thanks DECMA of Wits and NUST for support during the time this work was completed.

References

[1] C. Tsaousi and C. Sophocleous, Differential invariants for systems of linear hyperbolic equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **363**, 238 (2010).

[2] Ibragimov N H, Elementary Lie Group Analysis and Ordinary Differential Equations, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1999.

[3] Ovsiannikov L V, Group Analysis of Differential Equations, English translation by W F Ames, Academic Press, New York, 1982.

[4] F.M. Mahomed, A. Qadir and A. Ramnarain, Laplace-type semi-invariants for a system of two linear hyperbolic equations by complex methods, *Math. Prob. Engineering.*, **2011**, Article ID 202973 (2011).

[5] N.H. Ibragimov, Laplace type invariants for parabolic equations, *Nonlinear Dynamics.*, **28**, 125 (2002).

[6] Laplace, P. S., Recherches sur le calcul intgral aux diffrences partielles, Mémoires de l'Académie Royale des Sciences de Paris, 1773/77, pp. 341402; reprinted in Laplaces cuevres completes, Vol. IX, Gauthier- Villars, Paris, 1893, pp. 568; English translation, New York, 1966.

[7] I.K. Johnpillai, F.M. Mahomed and C. Wafo Soh, Basis of joint invariants for the $(1+1)$ linear hyperbolic equations, *J.N.M.P.*, **9**, 49 (2002).

[8] Ibragimov NH, Invariants of hyperbolic equations: solution of the Laplace problem. J Appl Mech Tech Phys 2004;45:15866

[9] Mahomed FM, Complete invariant characterization of (1+1) linear parabolic equations,

[10] Ibragimov NH, Meleshko SV, Thailert E. Invariants of linear parabolic differential equations. Commun Nonlin Sci Numer Simul 2008;13:277-84.

[11] Johnpillai I K and Mahomed F M, Singular Invariant Equation for the (1+1) Fokker Planck Equation, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 34 (2001), 11033-11051.

[12] F.M. Mahomed, M. Safdar and J. Zama, Ibragimov-type invariants for a system of two linear parabolic equations, *Commun. Nonlinear. Sci. Numer. Simulat.*, **17**, 3140 (2012).